Message

From: Leung, Lam-Wing H [LAM.H.LEUNG-1@chemours.com]

Sent: 10/15/2020 9:59:26 PM

To: McCord, James [mccord.james@epa.gov]; Strynar, Mark [Strynar.Mark@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: ?RE: Mead's paper

Hi James,

Thanks for your email. BP2 has 2 chiral centers as listed below and therefore it can exist as stereoisomers of the same thing. Stereoisomers are mirror images of themselves and stereoisomers with at least two centers are diastereomers. Diastereomers differ in physical properties and thus could be separated by chromatographic means. The distribution of these isomers will be statistical. Thus, if there are two (or two groups) of resolvable isomers, then there will be equal amounts of both. That is what they are seeing in their chromatograms. We can probably provide NMR data to support this.

$$CF_3$$
 F_2
 CF_3
 F_2
 CF_3
 F_2
 CF_3

Nafion BP2 * denotes a chiral center

As for NVHOS, the 162 peak is difficult to explain as we had discussed this in the past but based on our chemistries, it's highly unlikely the compound can be formed. We'll need to do further analysis to clarify this.

Best Regards, Lam

From: McCord, James <mccord.james@epa.gov> Sent: Thursday, October 15, 2020 4:23 PM

To: Strynar, Mark <Strynar.Mark@epa.gov>; Leung, Lam-Wing H <LAM.H.LEUNG-1@chemours.com>

Subject: ?RE: Mead's paper

External email. Confirm links and attachments before opening.

I've looked it over but haven't analyzed the data in depth. I did notice they implied that they were reporting BP2 isomers for the first time, but our paper pretty clearly showed a doublet with identical MS/MS fragments (it's SI figure 73-75). I have no reason to believe the constitutional isomers they suggest are separable by chromatography, but I don't know whether the stereoisomers are more separable or if it is a different structural isomer (like linear/branch on the central - OC3F6O-).

Their NVHOS analysis literally repeated the work I did. As you may recall our initial structure differed from yours based on the presence of diagnostic fragments. There are two fragments that should be incompatible but we saw them both in the standard that you shared with us. If that standard is pure then I think the isomers are indistinguishable via MS/MS alone. On the Orbitrap especially I have found the gas phase molecular rearrangements of the ether fragments to be particularly annoying, and not just from these compounds either.

--

James McCord

From: Strynar, Mark

Sent: Thursday, October 15, 2020 3:44 PM

To: Leung, Lam-Wing H < LAM.H.LEUNG-1@chemours.com>; McCord, James < mccord.james@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Mead's paper

The paper is on my list of things to read then to chat with him. I have done neither yet.

Mark

From: Leung, Lam-Wing H < LAM.H.LEUNG-1@chemours.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 15, 2020 2:12 PM

To: Strynar, Mark <<u>Strynar.Mark@epa.gov</u>>; McCord, James <<u>mccord.james@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: Mead's paper

Hi Mark & James,

Have you folks had a chance to look at this paper from Mead? I'm quite certain the "BP2" isomers he's referring to is indeed stereoisomers and not constitutional isomers as claimed in the paper. As to his observed NVHOS isomers, I'm not certain that is in agreement with our MS but I recall you looked into it. Your thoughts?

Best, Lam

Lam Leung, Ph.D.

Technical Fellow Chemours Discovery Hub N1-106-A 201 Discovery Blvd Newark, DE 19713

lam.h.leung-1@chemours.com 302 773 6581 o 302 985 1655 m

The Chemours Company



<="" style="">

This communication is for use by the intended recipient and contains information that may be privileged, confidential or copyrighted under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby formally notified that any use, copying or distribution of this e-mail, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this e-mail from your system. Unless explicitly and conspicuously designated as "E-Contract Intended", this e-mail does not constitute a contract offer, a contract amendment, or an acceptance of a contract offer. This e-mail does

not constitute a consent to the use of sender's contact information for direct marketing purposes or for transfers of data to third parties.

https://www.chemours.com/en/email-disclaimer

<="" }="" line-height:300%;="" color:black;="" Calibri;="" font-family:="" font-size:100%;="" left;="" text-align:="" {="" 20px;="" word-spacing:="" 5px;="" justify;="">

This communication is for use by the intended recipient and contains information that may be privileged, confidential or copyrighted under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby formally notified that any use, copying or distribution of this e-mail, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this e-mail from your system. Unless explicitly and conspicuously designated as "E-Contract Intended", this e-mail does not constitute a contract offer, a contract amendment, or an acceptance of a contract offer. This e-mail does not constitute a consent to the use of sender's contact information for direct marketing purposes or for transfers of data to third parties.

https://www.chemours.com/en/email-disclaimer